

# A.S.S.H.A.T

## ANNULING STATE SENATOR'S HEINOUS ACTS OF TERRORISM

The purpose of this document is to create awareness regarding the acts of Treason committed by U.S. Senators in 2011 to effectively dismantle the American Constitution by implementing unconstitutional legislation which can be interpreted to enact cruel and unusual punishment upon the American People by wilfully robbing them of several of their inherit constitutional rights.

Operation A.S.S.H.A.T. maintains that the members of congress whom voted for the N.D.A.A. 2012 knowingly compelled a change in the administration of the United States of America through adoption of legislature that is in direct violation of the Bill of Rights, including but not limited to the following:

### **Amendment IV**

The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

### **Amendment VI**

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused

shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defence

### **Amendment VIII**

Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

### **Amendment IX**

The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.

We must know before we continue;

### **What is Treason?**

The Constitution defines Treason as specific acts, namely:

*"Levying War against [The United States]"...*

### **What is Levying War?**

For the sake of better understanding Treason let us define "Levying War"

LEVYING WAR, criminal. Law:

*"The assembling of a body of men for the purpose of effecting by force a treasonable object; and all who perform any part however minute, or however remote from the scene of action, and who are leagued in the general conspiracy, are considered as engaged in levying war, within the meaning of the constitution."*

### **What is a Treasonable Object?**

To better understand Levying War, we must know what is legally considered a 'Treasonable Object'

The United States Supreme Court Reports, Volumes 5-8 page 693:

*"Any combination to subvert by force, the government of the United States, violently to dismember the union, to compel a change in the administration, to coerce the repeal or adoption of a general law, is a conspiracy to levy war, and if the conspiracy be carried into effect by the actual employment of force, by the embodying and assembling of men for*

*the purpose of executing the treasonable design which was previously conceived, it amounts to levying of war. It has been held that arms are not essential to levying war provided the force assembled be sufficient to attain or perhaps to justify attempting the object without them."*

### **Summary**

In summary, per U.S. Law any body of men with the intent to dismember the United States of America through the coercion of repealing general law or adopting law contrary to the general law of the land is committing Treason.

Members of the House of Representatives, the State Senate and President Obama himself have engaged in levying war against the American people by engaging in legislation that goes against our inherit human rights as they were set forth for us in the constitution.



Now that we all understand what Treason is, let us examine the accused:

The following body of men voted 'Aye' to the controversial NDAA 2012 despite warnings and pleas from their constituents informing them of the unconstitutional language contained within. Firstly,

President Barack Obama and,  
State Senators voting 'Aye' to the NDAA:

Sen. Daniel Akaka [D, HI]	Sen. Dianne Feinstein [D, CA]	Sen. Robert Menéndez [D, NJ]
Sen. Lamar Alexander [R, TN]	Sen. Al Franken [D, MN]	Sen. Barbara Mikulski [D, MD]
Sen. Kelly Ayotte [R, NH]	Sen. Kirsten Gillibrand [D, NY]	Sen. Jerry Moran [R, KS]
Sen. John Barrasso [R, WY]	Sen. Lindsey Graham [R, SC]	Sen. Lisa Murkowski [R, AK]
Sen. Max Baucus [D, MT]	Sen. Charles Grassley [R, IA]	Sen. Patty Murray [D, WA]
Sen. Mark Begich [D, AK]	Sen. Kay Hagan [D, NC]	Sen. Ben Nelson [D, NE]
Sen. Michael Bennet [D, CO]	Sen. Orrin Hatch [R, UT]	Sen. Bill Nelson [D, FL]
Sen. Jeff Bingaman [D, NM]	Sen. Dean Heller [R, NV]	Sen. Robert Portman [R, OH]
Sen. Richard Blumenthal [D, CT]	Sen. John Hoeven [R, ND]	Sen. Mark Pryor [D, AR]
Sen. Roy Blunt [R, MO]	Sen. Kay Hutchison [R, TX]	Sen. John Reed [D, RI]
Sen. John Boozman [R, AR]	Sen. James Inhofe [R, OK]	Sen. Harry Reid [D, NV]
Sen. Barbara Boxer [D, CA]	Sen. Daniel Inouye [D, HI]	Sen. James Risch [R, ID]
Sen. Scott Brown [R, MA]	Sen. John Isakson [R, GA]	Sen. Pat Roberts [R, KS]
Sen. Sherrod Brown [D, OH]	Sen. Mike Johanns [R, NE]	Sen. John Rockefeller [D, WV]
Sen. Richard Burr [R, NC]	Sen. Ron Johnson [R, WI]	Sen. Marco Rubio [R, FL]
Sen. Maria Cantwell [D, WA]	Sen. Tim Johnson [D, SD]	Sen. Charles Schumer [D, NY]
Sen. Benjamin Cardin [D, MD]	Sen. John Kerry [D, MA]	Sen. Jefferson Sessions [R, AL]
Sen. Thomas Carper [D, DE]	Sen. Mark Kirk [R, IL]	Sen. Jeanne Shaheen [D, NH]
Sen. Robert Casey [D, PA]	Sen. Amy Klobuchar [D, MN]	Sen. Richard Shelby [R, AL]
Sen. Saxby Chambliss [R, GA]	Sen. Herbert Kohl [D, WI]	Sen. Olympia Snowe [R, ME]
Sen. Daniel Coats [R, IN]	Sen. Jon Kyl [R, AZ]	Sen. Debbie Ann Stabenow [D, MI]
Sen. Thad Cochran [R, MS]	Sen. Mary Landrieu [D, LA]	Sen. Jon Tester [D, MT]
Sen. Susan Collins [R, ME]	Sen. Frank Lautenberg [D, NJ]	Sen. John Thune [R, SD]
Sen. Kent Conrad [D, ND]	Sen. Patrick Leahy [D, VT]	Sen. Patrick Toomey [R, PA]
Sen. Chris Coons [D, DE]	Sen. Carl Levin [D, MI]	Sen. Tom Udall [D, NM]
Sen. Bob Corker [R, TN]	Sen. Joseph Lieberman [I, CT]	Sen. Mark Udall [D, CO]
Sen. John Cornyn [R, TX]	Sen. Richard Lugar [R, IN]	Sen. David Vitter [R, LA]
Sen. Michael Crapo [R, ID]	Sen. Joe Manchin [D, WV]	Sen. Mark Warner [D, VA]
Sen. Jim DeMint [R, SC]	Sen. John McCain [R, AZ]	Sen. Jim Webb [D, VA]
Sen. Richard Durbin [D, IL]	Sen. Claire McCaskill [D, MO]	Sen. Sheldon Whitehouse [D, RI]
Sen. Michael Enzi [R, WY]	Sen. Mitch McConnell [R, KY]	Sen. Roger Wicker [R, MS]

## House of Representatives voting 'Aye' to the NDAA:

Ackerman	Carnahan	Gardner
Adams	Carney	Gerlach
Aderholt	Carter	Gibbs
Akin	Cassidy	Gibson
Alexander	Castor (FL)	Gingrey (GA)
Altmire	Chabot	Gohmert
Amodei	Chandler	Gonzalez
Andrews	Cicilline	Granger
Austria	Cole	Graves (MO)
Baca	Conaway	Green, Al
Bachus	Connolly (VA)	Green, Gene
Barletta	Cooper	Griffin (AR)
Barrow	Costa	Grimm
Bartlett	Courtney	Guinta
Barton (TX)	Cravaack	Guthrie
Bass (NH)	Crawford	Hall
Benishek	Crenshaw	Hanabusa
Berg	Critz	Hanna
Berkley	Crowley	Harper
Berman	Cuellar	Hartzler
Biggert	Culberson	Hastings (WA)
Bilbray	Davis (CA)	Hayworth
Bilirakis	Davis (KY)	Heck
Bishop (GA)	Denham	Hensarling
Bishop (NY)	Dent	Herger
Bishop (UT)	Deutch	Herrera Beutler
Black	Dicks	Higgins
Blackburn	Dingell	Himes
Bonner	Doggett	Hirono
Bono Mack	Dold	Hochul
Boren	Donnelly (IN)	Holden
Boswell	Dreier	Hoyer
Boustany	Duffy	Hultgren
Brady (PA)	Ellmers	Hunter
Brady (TX)	Emerson	Inslee
Brooks	Engel	Israel
Broun (GA)	Farenthold	Issa
Brown (FL)	Fincher	Jackson Lee (TX)
Buchanan	Fitzpatrick	Jenkins
Buerkle	Fleischmann	Johnson (OH)
Butterfield	Fleming	Johnson, Sam
Calvert	Flores	Jordan
Camp	Fortenberry	Keating
Canseco	Foxx	Kelly
Cantor	Franks (AZ)	Kildee
Capito	Frelinghuysen	Kind
Capps	Gallegly	King (IA)
Cardoza	Garamendi	King (NY)

## House of Representatives voting 'Aye' to the NDAA (continued):

Kingston	Olson	Scott, David
Kinzinger (IL)	Owens	Sensenbrenner
Kissell	Palazzo	Sessions
Kline	Pascrell	Sewell
Lamborn	Pastor (AZ)	Sherman
Lance	Paulsen	Shimkus
Landry	Pearce	Shuler
Langevin	Pelosi	Shuster
Lankford	Perlmutter	Sires
Larsen (WA)	Peterson	Smith (NE)
Larson (CT)	Petri	Smith (NJ)
Latham	Platts	Smith (TX)
Latta	Poe (TX)	Smith (WA)
Levin	Pompeo	Southerland
Lewis (CA)	Price (GA)	Stearns
Lipinski	Quayle	Stivers
LoBiondo	Rahall	Sullivan
Loeb sack	Reed	Sutton
Long	Rehberg	Terry
Lowey	Reichert	Thompson (PA)
Lucas	Renacci	Thornberry
Luetkemeyer	Reyes	Tiberi
Lungren, Daniel E.	Richardson	Tsongas
Manzullo	Rigell	Turner (NY)
Marchant	Rivera	Turner (OH)
Marino	Roby	Upton
Matheson	Rogers (AL)	Visclosky
McCarthy (CA)	Rogers (KY)	Walden
McCarthy (NY)	Rogers (MI)	Walz (MN)
McCaul	Rooney	Wasserman Schultz
McCotter	Ros-Lehtinen	Waxman
McHenry	Roskam	Webster
McIntyre	Ross (AR)	West
McKeon	Ross (FL)	Westmoreland
McKinley	Rothman (NJ)	Whitfield
McMorris Rodgers	Runyan	Wilson (FL)
McNerney	Ruppersberger	Wilson (SC)
Meehan	Ryan (WI)	Wittman
Mica	Sánchez, Linda T.	Wolf
Miller (FL)	Scalise	Womack
Miller (MI)	Schiff	Yoder
Miller, Gary	Schilling	Young (AK)
Murphy (PA)	Schmidt	Young (IN)
Neugebauer	Schock	
Noem	Schrader	
Nugent	Schwartz	
Nunes	Scott (SC)	
Nunnelee	Scott, Austin	

As peaceful protestors in America, many of us march, with flags, signs, guns, and we often have protest organizers, which could be considered as 'leaders'. March leaders, Chant Leaders, Religious Leaders, NRA Leaders etc. We do all of this because it is protected in our constitutional rights, however the NDAA (and its supporters) have undermined that authority, despite thousands of requests from its constituents that they not violate the constitution.

In short, the N.D.A.A., says that it will detain Americans with military force through enacting the rights empowered to the presidential office through Enemy Belligerent, Interrogation, Detention, and Prosecution Act of 2010.

The aforementioned Act will identify hostiles through a system outlined in the Geneva Act

The Geneva Act outlines any American that may affiliate with an, 'enemy party' through a long list of possible intended or unintended relationships, or behaviors, (many of which behaviors are constitutionally protected). The Geneva act does not specify which party/country might be an enemy, however in the N.D.A.A. it does directly specify that Americans are included and that it can be used to detain Americans on American territory without warrant or due process. Below I've attached some key documents proving this to be true, and I highly recommend that you do additional research on your own.

National Defense Authorization Act  
N.D.A.A. 2012

Subtitle D—Counterterrorism

SEC. 1021. AFFIRMATION OF AUTHORITY  
OF THE ARMED FORCES OF THE UNITED  
STATES TO DETAIN COVERED PERSONS  
PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORIZATION  
FOR USE OF MILITARY FORCE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—*Congress affirms that the authority of the President to use all necessary and appropriate force pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107–40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note) includes the authority for the Armed Forces of the United States to detain covered persons (as defined in subsection (b)) pending disposition under the law of war.*

(b) COVERED PERSONS.—A covered person under this section is any person as follows:

... A person who was a part of or substantially supported al-Qaeda, the Taliban, or associated forces that are engaged in hostilities against the United States or its coalition partners, *including any person who has committed a belligerent act or has directly supported such hostilities in aid of such enemy forces.*

(c) DISPOSITION UNDER LAW OF WAR.—The disposition of a person under the law of war as described in subsection (a) may include the following:

(1) *Detention under the law of war*

*without trial until the end of the hostilities authorized by the Authorization for Use of Military Force...*

*(4) Transfer to the custody or control of the person's country of origin, any other foreign country, or any other foreign entity.*

(e) AUTHORITIES.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect existing law or authorities relating to the detention of United States citizens, lawful resident aliens of the United States, or any other persons who are captured or arrested in the United States.

This is where a lot of controversy lies; We saw earlier in this section that “any person who has committed a belligerent act...[can be subject to]... detention under law of war without trial...

Does the statement within ‘AUTHORITIES’ conflict then with the statements contained within SEC. 1021? No!

Note that it specifies “existing law” not “constitutional law” they are simply reiterating that the government has been authorized to detain citizens without trial, based on more unconstitutional laws that were passed under the radar during 2010.

This is one of several preexisting bills enabling the detention of American citizens, without Miranda, or trial until

after interrogation.

<http://www.opencongress.org/bill/111-s3081/text>

S.3081 - Enemy Belligerent, Interrogation, Detention, and Prosecution Act of 2010:

(c) Determinations of Status-

(1) PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION BY HIGH-VALUE DETAINEE INTERROGATION GROUP-

*The high-value detainee interrogation group responsible for interrogating a high-value detainee under subsection (b) shall make a preliminary determination whether or not the detainee is an unprivileged enemy belligerent. The interrogation group shall make such determination based on the result of its interrogation of the individual and on all intelligence information available to the interrogation group.* The interrogation group shall, after consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, submit such determination to the Secretary of Defense and the Attorney General.

(2) FINAL DETERMINATION- As soon as possible after receipt of a preliminary determination of status with respect to a high-value detainee under paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense and the Attorney General shall jointly submit to the President and to the appropriate committees of Congress a final determination whether or not

the detainee is an unprivileged enemy belligerent for purposes of this Act. *In the event of a disagreement between the Secretary of Defense and the Attorney General, the President shall make the final determination.*

(3) DEADLINE FOR DETERMINATIONS- All actions required regarding a high-value detainee under this subsection shall, to the extent practicable, be completed not later than 48 hours after the detainee is placed in military custody under section 2.

#### SEC. 5. DETENTION WITHOUT TRIAL OF UNPRIVILEGED ENEMY BELLIGERENTS.

*An individual, including a citizen of the United States, determined to be an unprivileged enemy belligerent under section 3(c)(2) in a manner which satisfies Article 5 of the Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War may be detained without criminal charges and without trial for the duration of hostilities against the United States or its coalition partners in which the individual has engaged, or which the individual has purposely and materially supported, consistent with the law of war and any authorization for the use of military force provided by Congress pertaining to such hostilities.*

The above paragraph requires that anyone whom the president has determined to be an unprivileged enemy belligerent must be so in a manner which satisfies Article 5 of the Geneva

Convention:

Article 5 of the Geneva Convention:

*The present Convention shall apply to the persons referred to in Article 4 from the time they fall into the power of the enemy and until their final release and repatriation.*

Should any doubt arise as to whether persons, having committed a belligerent act and having fallen into the hands of the enemy, belong to any of the categories enumerated in Article 4, such persons shall enjoy the protection of the present Convention until such time as their status has been determined by a competent tribunal.

This is the only language provided under Article 5, Its sole purpose is to re-iterate that if we think a detainee MIGHT be innocent, they shall still remain in custody. Let's look at Article 4 of the Geneva Convention:

A. Prisoners of war, in the sense of the present Convention, are persons belonging to one of the following categories, who have fallen into the power of the enemy:

1. Members of the armed forces of a Party to the conflict as well as members of militias or volunteer corps forming part of such armed forces.

*2. Members of other militias and members of other volunteer corps,*

*including those of organized resistance movements, belonging to a Party to the conflict and operating in or outside their own territory, even if this territory is occupied, provided that such militias or volunteer corps, including such organized resistance movements, fulfil the following conditions:*

(a) That of being commanded by a person responsible for his subordinates;

*(b) That of having a fixed distinctive sign recognizable at a distance; (teachers unions, tea party, occupy movement, NRA)*

*(c) That of carrying arms openly; (tea party, NRA)*

*(d) That of conducting their operations in accordance with the laws and customs of war. (Consider Occupy Oakland whom is currently met with brutality and has adapted shields and barricades to defend themselves as needed during lawful protests, they are accustomed to carrying their wounded out of the lines of police fire just as seen in the fields of war)*

*3. Members of regular armed forces who profess allegiance to a government or an authority not recognized by the Detaining Power. (Consider Occupy the Marines, Military members whom are also NRA members etc)*

*4. Persons who accompany the armed forces without actually being*

*members thereof,* such as civilian members of military aircraft crews, war correspondents, supply contractors, members of labour units or of services responsible for the welfare of the armed forces, provided that they have received authorization from the armed forces which they accompany, who shall provide them for that purpose with an identity card similar to the annexed model.

5. Members of crews, including masters, pilots and apprentices, of the merchant marine and the crews of civil aircraft of the Parties to the conflict, who do not benefit by more favourable treatment under any other provisions of international law.

6. Inhabitants of a non-occupied territory, who on the approach of the enemy spontaneously take up arms to resist the invading forces, without having had time to form themselves into regular armed units, provided they carry arms openly and respect the laws and customs of war.

*B. The following shall likewise be treated as prisoners of war under the present Convention:*

*1. Persons belonging, or having belonged, to the armed forces of the occupied country, if the occupying Power considers it necessary by reason of such allegiance to intern them, even though it has originally liberated them while hostilities were going on outside the*

*territory it occupies, in particular where such persons have made an unsuccessful attempt to rejoin the armed forces to which they belong and which are engaged in combat, or where they fail to comply with a summons made to them with a view to internment.* (Consider Occupy the Marines)

With such broad language regarding the Military Detainment of American citizens, there's no telling who will be a suspect, who will go missing, or why. Will it be you? Your friends? Your mother? Who, if anyone, will notify you? What will you do when your loved ones start disappearing? If these questions plague you then more than likely you are a normal, emotionally intelligent human being. And if this situation frightens you then you understand why the N.D.A.A. 2012 is synonymous with terrorism.

Let it also be known that at the date of this draft (2/5/2012) hactivist group Anonymous, having not physically beared arms or harmed any human being, have been announced as terrorists by the Department of Defense. The same department has also issued the statement publicly that Occupiers are considered terrorists, even without hostile actions against the U.S. territories, and with the common goal to simply get corporate money out of American policy. So, it has already been established that nonviolent American's enacting their right under our first Amendment have been labelled terrorists simply for

peaceably assembling and asking for a change in the legislative system, and they are subject to detainment under the terms set forth in the N.D.A.A.

### **What can we do?**

For starters, lets get these traitorous assshats out of office.

### **Impeachment:**

Impeachment is a big deal, it's an option that until now, American's haven't really exercised. So it's important that we weigh in on a couple of the legal snags that they may try to use to hide behind, and it's important to know how to approach holding these traitors accountable without sacrificing the constitution.

Impeachment in the United States is an expressed power of the legislature that allows for formal charges against a civil officer of government for crimes committed in office. The actual trial on those charges, and subsequent removal of an official on conviction on those charges, is separate from the act of impeachment itself.

At the federal level, Article II of the United States Constitution (Section 4) states that "The President, Vice President, and all civil Officers of the United States shall be removed from Office on Impeachment for, and Conviction of, Treason, Bribery, or other High Crimes and Misdemeanors." The House of Representatives has the sole

power of impeaching, while the United States Senate has the sole power to try all impeachments. The removal of impeached officials is automatic upon conviction in the Senate. In *Nixon v. United States* (1993), the Supreme Court determined that the federal judiciary cannot review such proceedings.

**We must lobby the remaining one-hundred-seventy members of the house to impeach the treasonists within the House, the Senate, and the White House.**

No where is it written that the house must unanimously vote to impeach each other, or that a vote must be made at all in order for the house to impeach unlawful congressmen, although, if we wanted to suggest an orderly resolution a vote could take place exclusively with the remaining members of congress whom are not accused of treason, and only 65% of the remaining 170 members would be needed to agree on such action. The reason that the accused could not lawfully be invited to such a vote is outlined in our constitution:

The Fifth Amendment provides that an accused person may not be compelled

to testify against himself.

We must contact each of the remaining 170 members of the house who failed to influence their colleagues to uphold the constitution and give them the opportunity to make this right by enacting the power of congress to impeach the treasonists. Write a letter, call them and their secretary, make a music video do whatever it takes to let the remaining 170 members of congress know that they can still make good on their oath of office by trusting the constitution and the support of the people on this matter.

In this case the powers that be have provided well documented proof of their own guilt and there are thousands of us who've witnessed them bind America to the fate of these atrocities by their own admission. In my own inbox I have a letter directly from Senator Nelson's office blatantly lying about the content within the act and explaining to me that he did in fact vote for the N.D.A.A. and fully supports it, (in response to my prior contact begging that he vote no based on the presence of unconstitutional language). In order to Annul our State Senator's Acts of Terrorism we must act swiftly to remove the players whom orchestrated and voted in the N.D.A.A.

### **Trial Following Impeachment**

We also must take into consideration the fact that per the Constitution, the Senate, and only the Senate may hold ruling over

the judgement of the impeached. Since the Senate is even worse off in numbers than the house, (with only 7 members of the senate voting 'no') a fair trial may not be possible until significantly more seats have been filled, you can imagine that this will be a lengthy process (worth every minute of course).

And herein lies the question; What to do with the accused until fair trial can be held? To answer this we shall resist the urge to throw the N.D.A.A. in the face of its makers. Instead we shall examine the topics of treason, levying war and a treasonable object. It would appear that levying war upon the American people is a crime of war, and there-fore congress would be subject to military detainment until such date as the senate is re-structured enough to enact a fair trial.

To Enact the Process enabled to us by our founding fathers:

Call the remaining members of congress. You can thank those who voted no and ask them to continue to uphold their honourable oath of office by impeaching the criminals that would degrade our system.

You can email the Ayes too if you like demanding resignation or something to that effect, but since there are so few in office that managed to uphold their oath on this important topic, it would be better served to lobby the remaining few to join us in fixing America. The fastest

way by far is by calling their offices and asking for this, but it's important to articulate your needs in writing, so don't be afraid to send them a letter outlining the problems, or simply a note saying that you support the impeachment of criminals and you hope that they will join America in getting the criminals out of office.

**Contact the members of house who did not vote at all, let them have the opportunity to make good on their absence in this critical vote:**

You may use the employee directory at <http://www.house.gov/representatives/> to locate each person on this list and their contact info, including fax/phone/ and all office addresses. Fax them a copy of this book, call them or visit them and let them know where you stand on this important issue.

Bachmann  
Coble  
Diaz-Balart  
Filner  
Giffords  
Gutierrez  
Johnson, E. B.  
LaTourette  
Lynch  
Myrick  
Paul  
Pitts  
Sanchez, Loretta  
Young (FL)

## Contact the members of house who voted 'No' to the N.D.A.A.

This is a directory of contact information for the representatives within the white house: <http://www.house.gov/representatives/> Start with your own representative, if your rep is one of the treasonists, then move on to one of the reps below:

Below is a list of the representatives who voted No to the N.D.A.A.:

Amash	Duncan (TN)	Jones
Baldwin	Edwards	Kaptur
Bass (CA)	Ellison	Kucinich
Becerra	Eshoo	Labrador
Blumenauer	Farr	Lee (CA)
Braley (IA)	Fattah	Lewis (GA)
Bucshon	Flake	Lofgren, Zoe
Burgess	Forbes	Luján
Burton (IN)	Frank (MA)	Lummis
Campbell	Fudge	Mack
Capuano	Garrett	Maloney
Carson (IN)	Goodlatte	Markey
Chaffetz	Gosar	Matsui
Chu	Gowdy	McClintock
Clarke (MI)	Graves (GA)	McCollum
Clarke (NY)	Griffith (VA)	McDermott
Clay	Grijalva	McGovern
Cleaver	Hahn	Meeks
Clyburn	Harris	Michaud
Coffman (CO)	Hastings (FL)	Miller (NC)
Cohen	Heinrich	Miller, George
Conyers	Hinchey	
Costello	Hinojosa	
Cummings	Holt	
Davis (IL)	Honda	
DeFazio	Huelskamp	
DeGette	Huizenga (MI)	
DeLauro	Hurt	
DesJarlais	Jackson (IL)	
Doyle	Johnson (GA)	
Duncan (SC)	Johnson (IL)	

Dear Congress person;

Firstly, thank you for declining to vote in favor of the National Defense Authorization Act of 2012.

I am a lawful U.S. citizen, and am aware that grand treason has been orchestrated by the President Barack Obama, and many congress-people and senators, through the establishment of the N.D.A.A. 2012. This treason has occurred through the adaptation of laws that deny me and my heirs our inherent constitutional rights as citizens of the United States of America. This Treason can also be defined as Seditious Conspiracy.

I must urge you to impeach the members of congress whom have knowingly compelled a change in the administration of the United States of America through adoption of legislature that is in direct violation of the Bill of Rights, including but not limited to the following constitutional amendments:

Amendment IV: The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

Amendment VI: In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defence

Amendment VIII: Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

Amendment IX: The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.

Know that you have the support of your constituents to get the terrorists out of office, and I encourage you to rally with your fellow congress-people whom voted no, or declined to vote and end this crime against Americans, and join us in re-instating our civil liberties.

Our rights under the tenth Amendment:

*"The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people."*

As Ben Franklin pointed out, When the only other way to get rid of traitorous politicians is a lynching, Impeachment by congress or voluntary resignation is more favourable for everyone involved, and for this reason I look forward to seeing you and your fellow congress people continue to uphold your Oath in Office and exercise the full power of our Constitution.

Signed,